RPS REVIEW - INITIAL RANKING AT RPS AND ZONE LEVEL

Level / Priority	Category	Description	Possible Proposals
1. RPS	Permits	Landlord Permits	Requested by SCC Estates Management. Will need to offer single and multi-zone options, with caveats on misuse. Pricing to be determined.
		Community Service Permits	Formal replacement of Faith Permit with wider scope of use. Supported by the Policy Survey.
		Visitor Permits and Annual Visitor Permits	Survey highlighted some concerns over misuse of Visitor Permits particularly around the General Hospital. There is currently no distance limit on Visitor Permits. Annual Visitor Permits currently 250m from permit holders property and Essential Visitor Permits 200m. Suggested reduction to 100m for Visitor and Annual Visitor Permits.
	Vehicle Class exclusion	Extend Z1 exclusion of vehicles over 5m in length from entitlement to resident permits to Z2-12 & 16.	General concern over increase in commercial vehicles in residential areas. High-sided vans also may obscure visibility of pedestrians. May require some exemptions for existing permit holders.
	Foreign Vehicles	New limit of entitlement to only 2 x Temporary Resident's Permit (i.e. 2 x 3months = 6 months) until vehicle is registered and insured as a UK vehicle.	UK law requires that vehicles operating on UK roads for longer than six months MUST register with the UK Driver and Vehicle Licensing Authority (DVLA), must pay the appropriate Road Fund License and must be specifically insured as a UK vehicle.
	Post 2001 Entitlement	Mainly a requirement to provide more detailed statement in RPS policy regarding smaller developments which do not trigger an automatic S106 agreement.	Any refinements may however need to be part of RPS amendment
	Staff abuse	Request from Parking Services	To include within RPS Order reference to removal of permit if Council staff are subject to intimidation or abuse.
	Independent RPS schemes	There are existing unique schemes that were approved by the Council (e.g. Rockstone Place). It is intended to formalise this type of scheme where there is discrete community, where an independent RPS scheme could be introduced without any scope for future expansion and with dedicated permit charges and entitlement.	There is question of whether this should now be applied to the anomaly of the Z3 Centenary Quay permit allocation at £150 per year, which would require a TRO amendment. There is also a long standing RPS scheme request from Alexandra Quay that would be dependent on the approval of the RPS policy on independent RPS schemes.
1. Removal	Z16 Sandown Road	Preferences from survey in favour of removing scheme	A further survey would be appropriate given retention of permit restrictions in Medina Road and Sydney Road; then propose removal as appropriate.

Level /	Category	Description	Possible Proposals
Priority			
2. Zone	Z1, Z4 & Z5	Review of provision for short stay parking	Survey responses and scheme design would allow for increased level of
			short stay parking, with a reduction medium term signing and lining
			costs by standardising longer lengths of restrictions. For Z1 should also
			be linked to congestion and parking issues around Springhill School.
	Z5 (Request	Question of whether cost of over-laying stadium	As part of resident communication check on continued requirement for
	from Parking	restrictions are still required in Z5.	Stadium Event restrictions and signing
	Services)		
	Z2, Z3 & Z4	Survey highlighted shortage of parking for residents	Technical review to see whether there is any scope for creating
			additional parking capacity or usage.
	Z2 & Z3	Survey highlighted existing hours of operation related to	Further survey of residents to see if reduction of hours would be widely
		shift patterns at Vosper Thorneycroft and could now be	accepted
		reduced	
	Z7	Review of options to reduce impact of non-resident	Range of possible options, which will need further work with SGH, Ward
		parking in the vicinity of SGH.	Cllrs and residents including:
			Possible Zone division
			Extended days and hours of operation
			Possible permit holder only parking
			• Possible better offering for Blue Badges holders parking on site and
			more no loading /unloading restrictions around the SGH.
	Z6, Z7 & Z9	Review of issues around visitor parking for residents in	Signing only schemes do not have a permitted variant by the DfT
		signing only schemes	allowing short stay parking. May prove only to be a communication
			requirement
	Z13,14, & Z15	Main issues highlighted around communication	Communication of how and when scheme operates, with fixture list and
			web pages for updates
3. Localities	Z4	Derby Road and Northumberland Road	Trial of marking parking bays with related management of DPB locations
	Z5	Rockstone Lane & Onslow Road	Change of restrictions and times of operation
	General	Review of community clusters where requested by Ward	A small number of proposals may emerge from this.
		Councillors and linked to requests from individual roads	
4. Roads	General	Assessment of viability of individual road amendments	A range of minor amendments may be surveyed and proposed.
		(e.g. in context of Controlled Zone).	
		Review of survey and other historical requests for minor	
		changes in restrictions on roads within scheme areas.	
5. New RPS	General	RPS policy will guide how future requests will be	Generally a more structured approach will assist in target funding where
requests		assessed, designed and decided upon.	schemes will have most benefit and community support